

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



MARINE ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH

Marine Environmental Research 62 (2006) S105-S108

www.elsevier.com/locate/marenvrev

## Short communication

# Mass spectrometric profiling – A diagnostic tool in fish?

Fiona Provan <sup>a,\*</sup>, Anne Bjørnstad <sup>a</sup>, Daniela M. Pampanin <sup>a</sup>, Emily Lyng <sup>a</sup>, Ramon Fontanillas <sup>b</sup>, Odd Ketil Andersen <sup>a</sup>, Wolfgang Koppe <sup>b</sup>, Shaw Bamber <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> IRIS-Marine Environment, Mekjarvik 12, N-4070 Randaberg, Norway <sup>b</sup> Nutreco Aquaculture Research Centre, P.O. Box 48, N-4001 Stavanger, Norway

#### Abstract

The development of rapid and sensitive diagnostic tools to assess the effect of stressors on organisms is a principal objective of environmental proteomics. This study is focused on evaluating the potential of using surface-enhanced laser desorption/ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometry (SELDI-TOF MS) to assess stress in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*).

Plasma and mucus samples were taken from fish that had previously been maintained in a range of high density conditions, together with control fish maintained under low density conditions. Samples were collected during the post-density stress period for protein profile analysis. The mass spectra were analysed to evaluate reproducibility and to search for condition specific changes in protein expression. Multivariate analysis of the peak relative intensity data indicated a segregation of the data into three entities in accordance with the density level fish had been subjected to during the density stress period. This segregation was seen in both plasma and mucus data. © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Proteomics; Mucus; Atlantic salmon; SELDI-TOF MS

SELDI-TOF MS (Ciphergen Biosystems) is a high-throughput technique utilised to generate peptide/protein profiles and has been used in the medical field to identify disease specific biomarkers (Bischoff and Luider, 2004; Von Eggeling et al., 2001). Protein

0141-1136/\$ - see front matter @ 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.marenvres.2006.04.002

Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* fiona.provan@irisresearch.no (F. Provan).

profiling using the SELDI-TOF MS instrument has also been utilised in environmental monitoring of marine species, to identify biomarkers of toxic exposure (Bjørnstad et al., 2006). The technology consists of a protein chip array, the laser desorption/ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometer (LDI-TOF MS) and the software which facilitates analysis of the spectra. Due to the specific binding capacity of the protein chip array a subset of proteins from the sample is presented to the LDI-TOF MS.

In this study we are evaluating the potential of SELDI-TOF MS as a diagnostic tool in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) to identify condition specific protein patterns in mucus and plasma, related to the effects of high-density stress. Fish (mean weight 160 g) were kept in fresh water tanks at three different high-density stress levels (100, 200, 300 kg/m<sup>3</sup>), for 6 h. Control fish were kept at  $22 \text{ kg/m}^3$ . After the stress period water volume was adjusted to ensure that density level in all tanks was  $22 \text{ kg/m}^3$ . Samples of plasma and mucus were taken from 10 fish from each group during the post-stress period at intervals of 0, 60, 120, 180, 360, and 1440 min.

Standardisation of the mucus sampling technique is seen as an important prerequisite for successful profiling. Samples were collected by scraping both sides of the fish with an alcohol cleansed metal spatula. Areas of discolour mucus were avoided. Samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C. Following thawing, samples were prepared for further analysis by centrifuging at 12,000g at 4 °C for 20 min. The supernatant was collected and diluted 1:1 in a preparation buffer (50 mM Hepes pH 7.0, 0.05% Triton X-100, 10 mM DTT), and frozen in liquid nitrogen. The protein concentration was measured using the Bradford assay (Bradford, 1976) with the sample further diluted in binding buffer (50 mM sodium acetate buffer pH 4.35, 0.05% Triton X-100) to give a concentration of 0.4 mg/ml protein. Protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma P8340) was added to give a final leupeptin concentration of 1.3  $\mu$ M. Plasma samples were diluted 1:10 in binding buffer.

The protein chip array spots were pre-treated with binding buffer  $(2 \times 200 \ \mu\text{l})$  per spot) for 5 min. The samples (both plasma and mucus) were then applied to the protein chip array (CM10 weak cationic exchanger chip) and incubated overnight with shaking at 4 °C. Following the incubation step the protein chip arrays were washed  $(3 \times 200 \ \mu\text{l})$  per spot) with washing buffer (50 mM sodium acetate pH 4.35) and quickly rinsed with distilled water. Energy absorbing matrix  $(2 \times 1 \ \mu\text{l})$  was added to each spot, the matrix consisted of a saturated solution of sinapinic acid in 50% (v/v) acetonitrile and 0.5% trifluoracetic acid. The protein chip arrays were loaded into the protein chip reader. The protein chip arrays were analysed using a laser intensity of 170 to optimise for low molecular weight peptides/proteins (2–15 kDa) and with a laser intensity of 180 to optimise for higher molecular weight peptides/proteins (15–200 kDa). For each run an average of 105 laser shots were fired at each spot.

Examples of the spectra generated can be seen in Fig. 1. The spectra represent the sequentially recorded number of ions arriving at the detector (peak height/relative intensity) coupled with the corresponding mass/charge value. The mass spectra were calibrated using external mass calibrants, the data was also subjected to total ion current normalisation to minimise small differences in signal strength due to differences in protein concentration. Following the data preparation stage "Biomarker wizard" software (Ciphergen Biosystems) was used to collect the equivalent peaks present in a certain percentage of the experiment spectra into clusters. The software then returns a cluster summary with

S106



Fig. 1. SELDI-TOF MS spectra from Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar): (a) plasma and (b) mucus 0.4 mg/ml.

the m/z values of each cluster. The peak intensity of the constituent peaks of the clusters can be compared to look for treatment specific alterations in the detected peak intensity.

The statistical validation of the results revealed that inter-sample reproducibility within a group is good. The relative intensity CV was <0.3 for 50% of the peaks in the control group.

In order to look for condition specific protein patterns in mucus, multivariate analysis was carried out using Plymouth Routines in Multivariate Ecological Research v5 (Primer) software. Multi dimensional scaling (MDS) plots were created where the input data was the m/z and relative intensities of constituent peaks from the defined clusters. From the plot (Fig. 2) it can be seen that the data appears to segregate into three entities according



Fig. 2. Multi-dimensional scaling plot of peak relative intensities. Each symbol represents data from a post-stress sampling time point and represents mean data from 7 to 10 fish.

to the density stress levels the fish were subjected to prior to sampling. In this plot each symbol represents the mean intensity data of the 7-10 fish within a group. A segregation according to group was also seen for the plasma samples.

A second approach aimed to identify single markers by comparing well defined peaks with a minimum S/N of 5 present in all fish in the control group with the same peaks in the treatment groups. The goal was to identify peaks with significant changes in peak intensity that could serve as indicator proteins. For these samples the intensity of the peaks did not appear to change significantly in post-stress condition.

Finally although initial results allow us to tentatively propose a segregation of the sample groups according to the stress density levels the fish had been exposed to, further statistical evaluation of the data must be performed before conclusions can be drawn concerning the value of the SELDI-TOF instrument as a diagnostic tool in fish.

#### Acknowledgement

The authors thank Linda Jensen for her valuable technical assistance.

### References

Bischoff, R., Luider, T.M., 2004. Journal of Chromatography B 803, 27-40.

Bjørnstad, A., Larsen, B.K., Skadsheim, A., Jones, M.B., Andersen, O.K., 2006. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health–Part A 69 (1–2), 77–96.

Bradford, M., 1976. Analytical Biochemistry 72, 248.

Von Eggeling, F., Junker, K., Fiedler, W., Wollscheid, V., Dürst, M., Claussen, U., Ernst, G., 2001. Electrophoresis 22, 2898–2902.